

The 28th April, 1981

No. 8/107/81-2HBII.— The Governor of Haryana hereby declares for the purposes of section 293 of the Code of Criminal Procedure 1973, the Deputy Public Analyst Haryana, Chandigarh, as Assistant Chemical Examiner to Government, Haryana for analysing samples in excise cases under Para 4.19 of the Punjab Excise Manual Volume III.

G. V. GUPTA, Commissioner and Secy.

FINANCE DEPARTMENT

(Regulations)

The 8th April, 1981

No. 4/3(7)/81-2FR(I).—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 of the Constitution of India and all other powers enabling him in this behalf, the Governor of Haryana hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Punjab Civil Services Rules, Volume II, in their application to the Haryana State, namely :—

1. These rules may be called the Punjab Civil Services, Volume II (Haryana Second Amendment) Rules, 1981.
2. In the Punjab Civil Services Rules, Volume II, in Chapter XIII, in Annexure B, under the column "Extent", against serial number 2(a) (b) for the figure "300" the figure "700" shall be substituted.
3. These rules shall not be applicable to the staff working in Haryana Vidhan Sabha, Chandigarh.

T. K. BANERJI, Secy.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 9th February, 1981

No. 9(1)81-8Lab/1630 —In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. IV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Haryana Roadways, Bhiwani.

BEFORE SHRI BANWARI LAL DALAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 120 of 79

between

SHRI HAWA SINGH, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S HARYANA ROADWAYS, BHIWANI

Present :

Shri S. S. Gupta, for the workman.

Shri Vijay Veer Singh, for the management.

AWARD

This reference has been referred to this court by the Hon'ble Governor,— vide his order No. HSR/3-C-78/24576, dated 8th June, 1979, under section 10 (1)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri Hawa Singh, workman and the management of M/s Haryana Roadways, Bhiwani. The term of the reference was:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Hawa Singh was justified and in order ?
If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

On the receipt of the order of reference, notices as usual were sent to the parties who appeared in response to the same on 12th August, 1979, filed their respective pleadings and issues were framed on 10th December, 1979. After several adjournments, the parties reached a settlement on 14th November, 1980 and the statements of the parties were recorded. According to which the management agreed to reinstate the workman with continuity of service as regards the back wages, the management agreed to pay wages for two years from the date of termination onwards and the rest of the period was to be treated as leave without pay. The workman in his statement accepted the offer of the management.

In view of their statements, no further proceedings are required and the award is given accordingly and return the reference in the same terms.

Dated, the 29th January, 1981.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court Haryana,
Rohtak.

Endst. No. 290, dated the 6th February, 1981.

Forwarded (four copies) to the secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour & Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 9(1)-81-8Lab/1643.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Sudhir Engineering Industries, Gohana Road, Rohtak :—

BEFORE SHRI BANWARI LAL DALAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 111 of 1980

between

SHRI NARFISH KUMAR, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S SUDHIR ENGINEERING INDUSTRIES, GOHANA ROAD, ROHTAK

Present :—

No one for the workman.

Shari M. M. Kaushal for the management.

AWARD

This reference has been referred to this court by the Hon'ble Governor,—vide his order No.ID/RTK/47-80/30537, dated 20th June, 1980 u/s 10(1)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, for adjudication of the dispute existing between the workman and the management of M/s Sudhir Engineering Industries, Rohtak. The term of the reference was :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Naresh Kumar was justified and in order ?
If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

On the receipt of the order of reference notices as usual were sent to the parties. The management appeared through their authorised representative but no one appeared on behalf of the workman on 3rd September, 1980 and the notice sent to the workman was received by the representative of the workman who signed in taken of the receipt of the same. The workman was proceeded against *ex-parte* and the case was fixed for *ex-parte* evidence of the management. The *ex-parte* evidence of the management was recorded on 1st January, 1981. The management examined Shri Randhir Singh, partner as their sole witness who deposed that the workman entered into a settlement with management on 6th

November, 1980 and received Rs. 400. The settlement is Ex. M-1. The workman was retrenched on the closure of the body building section of the Sudhir Engineer Industries and he was paid Rs. 400. as retrenchment compensation. He worked for 15 May, 1979 to 16th October, 1979 and was junior to other workmen.

The statement of the management witness has to be relied upon as the same is made on oath and as *ex-parte* and the workman did not care to pursue his demand raised on the management under this reference. I therefore hold that the action of the management in terminating the services of the workman is justified and in order and the workman is not entitled to any relief. The reference is answered and returned accordingly.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,

Dated, the 29th January, 1981.

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

Enforcement No. 301, dated 6th February, 1981.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,

Presiding Officer
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 9 (1)-81-8Lab/165) —In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Shree Industries, Plot No. 102, Faridabad:—

BEFORE SHRI BANWARI LAL DALAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 74 of 1977

between

SHRI RAM LAGAN PARSHAD, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S SHREE INDUSTRIES, PLOT NO. 102, FARIDABAD.

Present:—

Shri Sagat Ram, for the workman.
Shri R. C. Sharma, for the management.

AWARD

This reference has been referred to this Court by the Hon'ble Governor,—*vide* his order No. ID/FD/1093/23790, dated 20th June, 1977, under section 10(i)(c) of the I. D. Act for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri Ram Lagan workman and the management of M/s Shree Industries, Faridabad. The term of the reference was:—

Whether the termination of Shri Ram Lagan Parshad was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the order of reference, notices as usual were sent to the parties who appeared response to the same on 11th August, 1977, filed their respective pleadings and issues were framed on 12th January, 1978. The management was asked to adduce their evidence which was recorded on 9th March, 1978. The workman obtained several adjournments and did not produce his evidence till 20th March, 1980 when the statements of the authorised representative of the workman was recorded who testified the execution of a settlement between the workman and the management which was placed on file by the management. In view of his statement and the memorandum of settlement, no further adjudication is required as the workman has settled his dispute,—*vide* the settlement which is on the file. The reference is answered and returned accordingly.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,

Dated, the 30th January, 1981.

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

Enforcement No. 308, dated 6th February, 1981.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.